recurved ; scutellum with the basal and apical spines well developed; legs and stermmen distinctly, somewhat longly pilose; anterior area of pronotum with a few short robust tubercles on each lateral area.
L.nng. 35 mm .

Hab. Gold Coast ; N. Territory (IV. Swire).
Somewhat allied to $P$. guttatipenuis, Stăl, but easily distinguished by the miform and distinct coloration of the ligs and the tuberculate anterior area of the pronotum \&c.

## Correction.

$13 y$ a curious thongh careless error in the title of my previnus paper in the Ann. \& Mag. Nat. Hist. (ante, p, 218) for "Heteropterous Family" Pyrhocoride I wrote "Homopterous Family," and, more strangely also, did not observe the error in "proof."

## NLVII.-Papers on Oriental Carabidæ.-I.

 By H. E. Andrewes.In constructing a Catalogue of Oriental Carabidre I have come across a number of questions requiring further elucidation, among which I may mention cases of erroneons identification, synonymy both of genera and species, attribution of sprecies to wrong genera, etc. I propose in this paper to give some notes and a fow new descriptions to clear these points up.

## Scaratini.

Oxylolus costutus, Bates (not Chand.), Am. \& Mag. Nat. Hist. (5) xvii. 1886, p. $71=0$. minor, 'Tchit. Hor. Sac. Ent. Ross. xxviii. 1s?4, p. 227.
Tchitcherin's species may prove, when more material is a vailable, to be only a local form of $O$. costutus.

Crepidopterus farrei, Maindr. Bull. Suc. Ent. Fr, 190t, p. 264 , fig. $=$ Scuritoderus loyole, ドairm. Bull. Soc. Ent. Fr. 1883, p. 55.

Scarites boucardi, Chaud. Mon. des Scaritides, Ann. Soc. Ent. Belg. xxiii. 1880, p. 98.
Chaudoir did not know the locality of this spocies. I lave Ann. \& Mag. N. Hist. Ser. 9. Vol. iii. 31
seen two examples from Upper Assam taken by Mr. H. Stevens, and there are three examples in the British Museum labelled respectively Burma, Manipur (Doherty), and Tenasserim.
Distichus sexpunctatus, Ménét. Cat. Rais. i. 1832, p. 103.
Ménétries would probably be surprised to find how constantly this name, invented by somebody else, but attributed to him, has been cited as a synonym of D. plamus, Bon. Ménétries says of his example of D. planus:-"Il diffère de la description qu'en donne le Comte Dejean, en ce que les jambes postérieures n'ont qu'une seule dent, et je n'ai pu compter que trois points imprimés sur chaque élytre." There is no mention of the word "sexpunctatus." D. planus can well afford to dispense with one of its numerous synonyms.

Clivina chlorizans, Bates, Ann. Mus. Civ. Gen. 1892, p. 282 $=$ Coryza chlorizans, Bates.

Clivina debilis, Bates, Ann. Mus. Civ. Gen. 1892, p. 278.
This name is preoccupied by C. debilis, Blackb. Proc. Linn. Soc. N.S.W. 1 s 89, p. 720 . For Bates's species I propose the new name C. invalida.

Dacca, Putzeys. Postscr. ad Cliv. Mon. Mém. Liège, xviii. 1863, p. $68=$ Clivina .
The only characters given to differentiate this genus from Clivina are the length and acuteness of the maxillæ and mandibles and the short scutellary striole-all variable in this genus, and insufficient to render a separate one necessary. I have seen a number of examples from Bengal.

## Siagonini.

Siagonu depressa, F.
This species was described by Fabricius in 1799 (Suppl. Ent. Syst. p. 56) as Carabus depressus, and following the description are the words "Habitat in Mauretania Nom. Schousboe, in India Orientali Daldorff, Mus. Dom. de Sehestedt." In 1801 (Syst. Eleuth. i. p. 24) the species reappears as Galerita depressa, but "Habitat in Mauretania Dom. Schousboe" has disappeared, and we have only "Habitat in India Orientali-Dom. Daldorff."

In 1813 Bonelli (Obs. Ent. ii. p. 458) described quite another species as Siagona plana. Dejean in 1825 (Svec.

Gen. i. p. 361) deseribed as S. depressa, F., an Indian specimen which was in fact identical with Bonclli's S. plane, and in the following year (Spue. (ien. ii. 1. 468) he deseribed another speeies from the Mediterranean as $S$. europea.

Chandoir, in his Monorraph on the genms (Bull. Mosc. 1S76, i. p. 90), followod Dejean in identifying S. depressa, F., with S. pluna, Bon, and lie gives the habitat of S' europea, Hei., as extending from Senegral to Bengal.

In 1857 Mr. Bedel (Amm. Soc. Ent. M'r. p. 195) expressed the view that $S$. enropea, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{j} .=S_{\text {. }}$. depressu, F . (not Dej., not Chand.), and that the latter name should stand for the species, as in fact it does in his 'Catalogne raisomé des Coléoptères du Nord de l'Afrique,' 18:17, p. 10S. There the matter rests at present, and Mr. Bedel would be quite right if the Mediterranean and Indian species were identical. I lave taken Indian specimens myself in considerable numbers, and have specimens or records from over thirty different localities all over India. I have also examined specimens from varions combries bordering the Mcditertanean and from Arabia. The conclusion I arrive at is that the two species are distinct. 「abricius evidently had both before him when drawing up his description, and, as Dejean has since described one of them as S. europea, I think the Mediterranean species should bear that name, the name S. depressa heing reserved for the Indian one.
S. depressa is on average wider than S. europeu, joints 1 and $\underline{O}$ of the antemm are rather more diated and joint 1 is more rounded oft at the apex, the strangulation of the neek is deeper, the ocular ridges are stronger and practically reach the lind margin of the eye (hey stop at two-thirds dianeter of eye in europea). Prothoracic furrows rather deeper, pumeturation of disk much stronger, sides more rounded and more contracted in front. Elytra rather more oval, less patallel, and a littlo more conirsely pmuctate; the smooth anea along the raised suture much less evident, the pubescence rather longer and yellower in colour. Underside more closely punctate.

I wrote recently about the types to the University Museum of Copenhagen, and Dr. Lumbeck has very kindly examined the collection there and written me in reply. He tells me that the handwriting of Fabricins is not to be found in the Lund and Schestedt collections, and he believes the labols to have been written ly sehestedt. There should be examples of S. deךnessu from Maure tania (Schouboe) and India (IJaldory $f^{\prime \prime}$ ), but we latter is not to be fomm. There ane, however, two examples which have always been regarded as typee,
one of which bears the label "Tanger. Schousboe, Mns. T. L. \& S., Carabus depressus, F." The name Schousboe does not refer to a collection, but to a collector.

If the Indian type is not at Copenhagen, it is unlikely to turn up elsewhere, and must be regarded as lost.

## Bembidifing.

Bembidium europs, Bates, Ann. \& Mag. Nat. Hist. (5) xvii. 1886, p. 156.
This species, founded upon a single specimen taken by Mr. George Lewis in Ceylon, is widely distributed throughout India. I consider it identical with $B$. opulentum, Nietn. (Amı. \& Mag. Nat. Hist. (3) ii. 1858, p. 420), but Bates thought that Nietner's species was synonymons with $B$. niloticum, Dej. Spec. Gen. v. 1831, p. 73. Dejean described his species from Egypt, whence it ranges through Mesopotamia and China to Japan, and extends southwards into IndoChina. I have seen a solitary specimen from India taken by Mr. H. G. Champion in W. Almora (Himalayas). On distribution, therefore, $B$. opulentum is more likely to be europs than niloticum, and Nietner's description, though inadequate, fits europs better. Bates does not say that he has seen any autheutic specimen of $B$. opulentum.

Bembidium xanthotelum, Bates, Ann. Mus. Civ. Gen. 1892, p. $287=$ B. vanthacrum, Chaud. Bull. Mosc. 1850, iii. p. 175 (note).

Tachys euglyptus, Bates, Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond. 1883, p. 268 $=T$. klugi, Nietn. Ann. \& Mag. Nat. Hist. (3) ii. 1858, p. 423.

Elaphropus, Motsch.
The pectinate claws are difficult to see, but I have been able to detect them in several Oriental species. The following should, I think, be referred to this genus, in addition to Motschulsky's E. gracilis and E. latissimus:-
Tachys amplians, Bates, Ann. \& Mag. Nat. Hist. (5) xvii. 1886, p. 155.

- haliploides, Bates, Ann. Mus. Civ. Gen. 1892, p. 289, and var. contractulus, 1. c. p. 290.
—— perlutus, Bates, Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond. 1873, p. 299.


## Harpalini.

Acrogeniodon, Tchit, Ahoille, xxix. 1897, p. 65=Chyderes, Chand. Bull. Mosc. 1854, ii. p. 343.
Two species were described by Tchiteherin, viz., A. bedeli (I. c. p. G6), from Moupin, and A. semenowi (Hor. Soc. Eut. lioss. xxxii. 1899 , p. $66(0)$, from Iarjiling. Neither of these appears to be identical with the genotype, 6 . obscurns, Chand. (I. c. p. 34.4), for a specimen of which I am indebted to Mr. T. G. Sloane.

Platymetopus interpunctatus, Dej. Sp. Gen. iv. 1829, p. 71.
The locality is given as Coromandel, which is almost certainly erroneous. The species inlabits Madagascar and the Seychelles.

## Kareya, gen. nov.

In Compt. rend. Soc. Ent. Belg. 1891, and Ann. Mus. (iiv. Gen. 1892, Bates described a number of species under the generic name I'latymetopus (?), and at p. 332 of the latter volume he makes some remarks uno it. The gencral apparance of these insects is widely difficent from that of typical Plutymetopi, and the size is larger. In Plutymetopus the ligula is short and bisetuse, the paraglosse small, extending a little beyond and enveloping it, with a fringe of hairs on the outer margin. In Kereya both ligula and paraglosse are larger, the former bisctose but free at the apex, the latter wider, glabrous except for one or two minnte harrs on tho side.s tuwards base. Mentun edentate, but with the middle of tho margination of marally thickened; penultimate joint of labial palyi phrieetuse; head with a fine suture on each side, extendng from the trontal fovea to the eye. Borls liead and prothorax smooth, the latter finely punctate over the basal area, its formas in Gincthaphanus. Elytraminutely punctulate and very finely pubescent, one or more odd intervals (third alwass) seriate-punctate. T'arsi hairy on upper sufface; front and intermediate tarsi in ot with four moderately dilated joint $\sim$, hereriately squamose beneath and tringed whth long hairs; hin I tarsi with joint $1=2+3$.

All tho known species were described by Bates. The genotyfu is Ki. erelins, Butes, Ann. Mus. Liv. Gen, 1892, p. 331 , from Burma; the nther specios to be included are た. edentatus, gnuth aphunoid ss, gran liceps, major, and subturis.

The gencaic mane is derived from a Kinatese word meaning " black, dirty."

## Prakasifa, gen. nov.

In Ann. Mus. Civ. Gen. 1892, p. 333, Bates described Platymetopus (?) amariformis, but remarked at the end of the description, "The head is small and presents none of the peculiarities of the typical Platymetopi." The genus is nearer Dioryche than Platymetopus, but the form of the head and some other characters render a new genus necessary. The ligula and paraglosse are as in Dioryche, mentum without tooth, but with the emargination thickened in middle ; pennltimate joint of labial palpi plurisetose. Form broad, surface smooth, colour æueous. Head small, smooth, conves, not depressed in front, clypens only slightly emarginate, not exposing basal membrane of labrum; frontal fovere small, curving outwards behind and continuing as a fine line to the eye ; antenne hardly reaching base of prothorax, very finely pubescent from middle of joint 3 ; eyes prominent. Elytral intervals 3; 5, and 7 with a row of punctures. Tarsi smooth on upper surface ; front and intermediate tarsi in $\delta^{\sigma}$ with four moderately dilated joints, 1 rectangular, 2, 3, and 4 triangular, biseriately squamose beneath.

The generic name is derived from a Kanarese word meaning "Instre."
P.amariformis, Bates, from Kawkareet (Tenasserim) and Taun-ngu, is the genotype and sole representative of the genus.

Amblystomus vittatus, Bates, Ann. Soc. Ent. Belg. 1892, p. 231.

The name is preoccupied by A. vittatus, Gestro, Ann. Mus. Civ. Gen. 1875, p. 885, and for Bates's species I propose the name of $A$. bivittatus.

Anoplogenius patinalis, Bates, Ann. Mus. Civ. Gen. 1892, p. $346==$ Lepithrix foliolosus, Nietn. Journ. As. Soc. Beng. 1857, ii. p. 152 = Anoplogenius discophorus, Chaud. Bull. Mosc. 1852, i. p. 90.

Acupalpus marginatus, Bates (not Lucas), Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond. 1883, p. 241.
A note by Mr. Bedel, in his Cat. rais. des Col. du Nord de l'Afr. 1899, p. 158, note (2), induced me to examine the example in the British Museum, which I find to be $A$. dorsalis, F.

Tuchycellus lamprus, Bates, Ann. © Mag. Nat. Hist. (5) xvii. $1886, \mathrm{p} .80=$ Trichotichnus Lemprres, Bates.
This species, labelled in Bates's handwriting, differs in so many respects from the deseription, that 1 think the anthor must have had some other insest before him. I cannot, however, find anything agreeing with the description amonry the Carabide taken by Mr. Lewis in Coylon, and I can only mention the diecrepancies I have noted. The uper surface is glossy and relucent, as mentioned, hat blue-black rather than zenesent. The penultimate juint of the labial palpi is phrisetose, not hisctose ; I am unable to detect the punctured fovea on the first serment of the abrlomen in the $\delta$ (characteristic of Tuchyrellus and its allios) ; interval 3 of the elytra with a well-marked puncture just hehind middle. I cannot at present see any reatson why this species slould not be included in Morawitz's genus Trichotichnus, though all those hitherto described are confined to N.E. Asia.

## ANCHOMENINI.

Pristonychus kashmiremsis, Bates, Proc. Zool. Soc. 1889, p. $214=\mu$. spinifer, Schanf. Sitzungsb. Ges. Isis, Dresden, 1862, p. 66.

Anchomerus politissimus, Bates, Proc. Zool. Soc. 1878, p. 719 $=$ A. lissopterus, Chatud. Bull. Mosc. 1854, i. p. 136.

## OdACANThini.

Casnonict cegrotu, Bates, Trans. Eut. Soc. Lond. 1883, p. 278 = Olducunthu egrotu, Bates.

Ophionea, Klug. Eut. Braz. Spec. 1821, p. 298.
This genus was formed for tho three species, 1. O. pennsylvanica, L., 2. O. cyanocephala, F., 3. O. surinamensis, L. In No. 1 the fourth tarsal joint is simple, and in No. 2 it is bilobed. If No. 3 I have no personal knowledge, but it is evidently guite a different insect from tho others, and de Geer, in Mém. iv. 1ity, p. 7\%, formed for it the gemus Colliuris. Klug mentions the fourth tarsal joint twice over, but his remarks atre contradictory: under "Charaeteres" we read "tarsi articulo quarto clongato," but under "generis deseriptio" this hecomes "tarsi articulo. . . quarto brevisimo." A year later Latreille and Dejean, Hist. Natt. © Icon. d'Eur. 182!2, p. if, published the genus Casnomia, which was not,
and did not profess to be, other than identical with Klug's Ophionea. When, in 1825, Dejean published the first volume of his 'Species Général,' he introduced the genus Casnonia at p. 170 , and, referring to the species included in it, he writes "Latreille les avait d'abord placés parmi les Agra, et il en a fait ensuite un geure particulier que je lui ai conservé; Klug, n'ayant pas comaissance de son travail, l'avait établi dans son Entomologice brasiliance sp cimen, sous le nom d' Ophionea." Klug could not in 1821 have any knowledge of a work published in 1822, and Dejean must therefore refer to some earlier work of Latreille. I have searched for this in vain, nor can I find any references anterior to 1822 in the works of other authors. The name was undoubtedly known, for it appears (under the guise of Cosnania) in Dejean's first Catalogue (1821). I think Casnonia must be ruled out as a pure synonym.

In 1829 Eschscholtz, Zool. Atl. ii. p. 5, in introducing his genus Rhagocrepis, gives a table differentiating this and allied genera. Ophionea figures in this table as having tarsi with a bilobed fourth joint; the actual species, O. cyanocephala, F ., is not mentioned, but it is none the less made the genotype, and the fact that Casnonia is included in the same table (with a different signification) does not seem to me to invalidate this conclusion. Ophionea in this sense was recognized both by Schmidt-Goebel, Faun. Col. Birm. 1846, p. 20, and Lacordaire, Gen. Col. i. 1554, p. 73, though both of them were inclined to attribute the genus to Eschscholtz. Another genns, also for O. cyanocephala, F., was formed by Castelnan, Et. Ent. 1834, p. 40, under the name of Casnoidea, but this merely provides another synonym for Ophionea.

Mr. Bedel has already drawn attention, Bull. Noc. Ent. Fr. 1910, p. 72, to some of the details given above, but he does not come to the same conclusion. He makes pennsylvanica the type of Ophionea, and puts all the species with a cleft fourth tarsal joint mader Castelnau's genus Casnoidea. In this he has beenfollowed by Commandant Dupuis, Amm. Soc. Ent. Belg. 1913, p. 270. Mr. Sloane, on the other hand, in his tabie of the Australian Odacanthini, Proc. Limn. Soc. N.S.W. 1917, p. 414, retains Ophionea as defined by Eschscholtz, and also, like me, considers Motschulsky's genus Lachnothorax, Et. Ent. 1862, p. 48, as distinct from the other genera cited *.

[^0]The upshot of the above is that pennsylvanica and its Enstern allies are at present, according to my view, without a genus. My lack of kuowledge of American Carabide prevents me from proposing a new genus for penmsylvanica, but I think that its elongate hoad, bordered prothoras, and the smooth apieal area of the elytra separate it generically trom all the Eastern species known to me. For somo of the latter I propose tho new genns Arame, though this will not include all of them. The type of this genms is described finther on under the name of $A$. macra.

## Arame, gen. nov.

Ligula short, fairly wide, truncate in front, a little arcuato in centre, quadrisetose, the two inner setce much longer than the outer ones; paraglossid linear, membranous, free, glabrous, curving inwards, and rather lnuger than the ligula. Mentum with a short fairly sharp tooth in the emargination, about half as long as the lobes; epilobes evident, projecting in front of the lobes in the form of an acute tooth.

Maxillie sharp, hooked at tip, with a row of strong bristles on imer side. Maxillary paipi glabrous, joints 2 and 4 half as long again as 3 ; last joint a little inflated, tapering and truncate at extremity. Labial palpi glabrous, except tor the two seta on inner margin of penultimate joint; joints equal, last one as in maxilaries.

Mandibles short, a small tooth on the right one at about middle, none on lefi, without seta in scrobe.

Antenne reaching beyond base of thorax, first three joints glabrous, joint 1 inflated, with only one sota, juint 2 very short, joint $3=1$, rest a little longer.

Eyes moderately prominent, a little removed from buceal fissure.

Labrum truncate, sexietose.
Head subglobose, much inflated behind oyes, with two supmorbitat scter, strongly constricted behind, condyliform.

Prothorax subglobose, lateral margins ohsolete or indicated by a very fine line only.

Elytra fully striate, but striz gonerally becoming faint towards apex. Odd intervals-or, at least, interval 3-with some setiferous poros.

Last ventral segment in $\delta$ with one, in of with two setifurons pores un each side; in the of tho margin is distinctly noteled, in the o only fitin ly so.
 ginate, juin 5 very lung, with setab beath; in the !amd liges
joint 1 is not much longer than 2. In the $\delta$ the first three joints of the front tarsi are faintly dilated and biseriately squamose beneath. Claws simple, much dilated at base.

The name is derived from a Kanarese word meaning " very small."

The above characters are largely those of Odacantha, but in that genus the paraglosse are wider, hardly longer than the ligula, adnate, though separated at apex from the ligula by a deep emargination. The chief difference, however, is in the thorax, which in Odacantha is very distinctly margined at sides over the front two-thirds. The elytra of this genus, too, are less convex and the strie more finely punctate. The two genera are evidently closely allied, but the characters I have just mentioned seem to render them sufficiently distinct.

## Arame macra, sp. n.

Length $6-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.; width $1.6-1.8 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Piceous. Palpi, first three joints of antennæ (2 and 3 sometimes infuscate), legs (except coxæ and front trochanters), epipleure of elytra, and an ill-defined-spot at apex (sometimes the whole of the apical third) reddish testaceous.

Head about 1 mm . in wilth (length behind eye $=1 \frac{1}{2}$ times diameter of eye), convex, shiny, frontal foveæ well-marked, clypeus smooth, with a seta at each side, surface sparsely covered with coarse setiferous punctures, more closely in front, only a few behind level of eyes.

Prothorax barrel-shaped, without front or hind angles, shiny, about as long as head and a little narrower, widest in middle, contracted at each extremity, but more so in front than behind, slightly constricted just before base, which has a smooth border ; side-margins obsolete, median furrow wide but not deep, surface covered with setiferous punctures, less closely on disk, the puncturation rather more deuse than on head.

Elytra moderately convex, parallel, as long as head and thorax taken together, joining prothorax by a short peduncle, shoulders a little oblique, apex nearly squarely truncate, punctate-striate, less strongly towards apex; intervals 3,5 , and 7 each with a series of mmerous small setiferous pores, the hairs standing out very clearly on unrubbed specimens viewed sideways.

On the underside the abdomen is smooth, head with a few large punctures, all sterna (except middle of metasternum) densely and very coarsely punctate, prosternal process smooth,
glabrous, umbordered, metepisterna three times as long als wide.

Very closely allied to A. humorrhoidalis, Motsch., if I have correctly determined that species, but the lather has the head less narrowly eonstrieted, the back of the head (behime tho level of hind margin of eyes) quito smonth, and only thre or four setiferons pores on interval 3 , none on $\overline{5}$ or 7 . Bates thought that hemorrhoidulis had pores on interval 5 as well as on 3 (vile Am. Mns. Civ. Cren. 18:12, 1, 352).

Ceylon, Colombo, on enast-level, 7 -27. ix. 1852 (fí. Lewis). Other specimens taken in Ceylon by Dr. 'Ihwates. 'Type in British Mnsenm. The pecimens taken hy Mr. Lewis are those determined by Bates, Amm. \& Mag. Nat. Hist. (5) xvii. 1SS6, p. 199, as Casnonia hemorrhoidalis, Motsch.

I cannot at present give more than a provisional list of the species which appear to belong to this gemus. The list is as follows:-A. macru (type), albiculon, Bates, celebensis, Gestro, limaculata, Schm. Grueb. (distigma, Chaud.), flavicunda, Bates, fuscipennis, Chaud., graciliceps, Batos, hcemorrhoidalis, Motsch., latifuscia, Chand., litura, Schm. Gueb., metallica, F'aim., punctata, Nistn., subapicalis, Oberth., tetraspilota, Schm. Goeb., virguliferc, Chaud., vanthe, Bates.

## Lacheopiorini.

## Lomasa, gen. nov.

Ligula short, wide, sides almost parallel, apex slightly arcuate, bisctose.

Paraglosse membranous, very marrow, glabrous, romded at apex, attached to ligula at bavo only, curving inwards and reaching a little beyond its apex.

Mentum with an emarginate tooth, half as long as side lobes, epilobes narrow, reaching a little beyond lobes, rather sharp, hut with apex rounded.

P'alpi setose, joints cylindrical, elongate, the last rather shorter, trineate at apex.

Mandibles short, hooked and pointed at apex, without seta in scrobe.

Labrum truncate, sexsetose. Eyes prominent, distant from buccal fissure.

Antenne pubescent, filiform, two-thirds as long as hody, joint 2 very short, 3 half as long again as the rest, which are abont equal.

Body covered with a dense short pubescence. Thorax strongly cordate. Elytra short, slightly emarginate at apex.

Legs pilose, front tibiæ with a spine at apex and another at base of emargination.

The front tarsi in the $\delta^{7}$ with three squarely dilated joints, each with a pad of hairs beneath; joint 4 emarginate and furnished at apex with a tuft of very long hairs. Claws simple, long, and very thin.

The name is derived from a Sanskrit word meaning "hairy."

There is only one known species, which has already been twice described, viz.:-

Chlanius xanthacrus, Wied. Zool. Mag. ii. 1, 1823, p. $51=$ Chlcenius hägeli, Redt. Reis. Novar. ii. Col. 1867, p. 9.
Chandoir thonght that this species, on account of its pubescent palpi, belonged to the Lachmophorini. I accept this view provisionally, as the group, which has few representatives in Asia, is not at present weil known to me.

## Galeritini.

Galerita birmanica, Bates, Amı. Mus. Civ. Gen. 1892, p. 385 $=G$. peregrina, Duhrı. Stetı. Eint. Zeit. 1880, p. 291.

> Planetes puncticeps, sp.u.

Planetes bimaculutus (Nacl.), Bates, Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond. 1873, p. 304 ; Putz. Compt. rend. Soc. Eut. Belg. $18 i 5$, p. 52 ; Heyd. Deutsch. Ent. Zeit. 1879, p. 329.
Length $12 \cdot 0-14.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Piceous ; base of palpi, joint 1 of antemnæ, femora, tibiæ, and a spot on each elytron testaceous; rest of palpi, joints 2 and 3 of antenmæ, labrum, and tarsi reddish brown. The whole surface (except where impunctate) covered with a fine short yellowish pubescence.

Head ( $2.0-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Wide) moderately convex and shiny, frontal fovere wide and shallow, surface densely and finely punctate (smoother in middle of front), a number of large punctures mingled with the small ones, especially at back and sides; neck moderately constricted.

Prothorax ( $2 \cdot 75-3 \cdot 50 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide) moderately shiny, slightly transverse, widest at a thurd from apex, a little emarginate in front, base bisinuate, with sides advancing to meet hind angles, sides romded more sharply in front than behind, a sela at a third from apex, another at hind angle, extremities equally contracted, front angles rounded, hind angles nearly
right, sharp, projecting slightly laterally; transverse improsions inconspicuons, median line faint, hasal fovea deep (making hind angles appen reflexed), the wholo surface denvely pinctate.

Elytra ( $3 \cdot 75-4.75 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide) parallel, rather rectangular, more than twice as long its thoras, shombers advaneed, apex nearly squarely truncate ; each elytron with mine primary natrow ridges, of which the first is close to the summe and the ninth (Hatter and wider than tho others) not far from margin, two secondary rather finer ridges between the pimary ones, a row of sotiferous punctures ruming between each primary and secombary ridge, but not between tho scondary ones, a row of large pores inside the ninth ridge, from which emerge a few long setae, chiefly visible near base and apes ; the testaceous spota, more or less rounded, placed a little before middle, and extending from primary sidges 2 to 6.

Underside, except middle of head and a small space on each side near base of ventral surface, lensely punctate and pubescent; prosternal process not borderel, metepisterna elongate, last ventral segment in both sexes slightly emarginate, with half-a-dozen sete, longer than the general pubescence, on ench side.
'Tarsi setose on upper surface, joint 1 of hind tarsi=(very nearly) $2+3+4$; front tarsi in of with three joints moderately dilated, densely fringed with long yellowish hairs and with a row of ragged whitish seales along outer margin beneath.

Closely allied to $P$. bimaculutus, Mael., but distingnished by the much denser puncturation of the head, with large and small punctures mingled ogrether, prothorax more transverse, wider in front, and more contracted behind, hind angles sharper and projecting a litule laterally, basal fovere decper, puncturation coarser and closer, oceasionally confluent, pro( pisterna more evidently punctate (in bimaculatus nearly smoth).

Japan: Nagasaki and Yokohama (G. Lewis) ; Tsushima. Chima: Tchusan; Port Haner. Type in the British Museun.

## Pericalini.

Catascopes cupricollis, Chand. Col. Nov. i. 1583, p. 24.
This name is preoccupied by C. cupreicollis, C. O. Waterh. Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond. 1877, p. 1. I suggest C. aneicollis. The species seems very closely allied to C. aruensis, Saund.

Cutascopus elongutus, Saund. Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond. 1863, p. 466, t. xviii. fig. $5=$ Holcoder us elongutus, Saund.

Gutuscopus gracilis, Uberth. Notes Leyd. Mus. v. 1883, p. 220 $=$ Holcoderus gracilis, Oberth.
Catascopus rugicollis, Saund. Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond. 1863, p. 46.4 t. xviii. fig. 6.

This is apparently a malformation of $C$. aculeatus, Chaud.

## Sfitakantha, gell. nov.

Tingula moderately long, narrow, subcarinate at base, quadrisetose, apex free; paraglossæ membranous, adnate, nearly parallel, a little wider than ligula, extending very little beyond it.

Palpi glabrous; maxillaries with joint 2 incrassate $=4$, which is cylindrical, slightly tapering and rounded at apex, 3 abont two-thirds of 4, tapering towards base; labials with equal cylindrical joints, the last truncate at apex, penultimate with a single seta on inner margin.

Mentum transverse, quadrately emarginate, edentate, but base thickened in middle, lobes oblique and pointed, but romended at apex, epilobes wanting.

Maxillæ curved, very sharp at apex, densely ciliate on inner margin.

Mandibles short, with a blunt tooth at base, right mandible with a small median tooth.

Labrum porrect, as long as wide, narrowed anteriorly, emarginate in front, with romded angles, sexsetose, but the outside seta is placed far back, quite a third from apex.

Antemæ filiform, half as long as body, joint 1 short, incrassate, 2 very short, rest approximately equal, pilose from apex of 4 .

Eyes moderately prominent, reaching buccal fissure.
Head with two supraorbital setæ, neck tumid.
Prothorax very strongly emarginate in from, a seta midway hetween base and apex and another at hind angle, both on margin.

Elytra very short, quadrate, a few large pores on interval 9, from which issue very long setæ; interval 3 with a single fine pore at a fourth from apex; margin with a series of minute hairs, as in Stenotelus, but no denticulation is perceptible. In Peripristus and Sinurus the denticulation is evident.
'Tarsi glabrous above; front tarsi in of with three slightly
dilated joints, chothed beneath with a few whitish scales; first joint of hime tarsi nearly equalline the succeeding three jonint. Claws simple, but with daint tatees of denticulation at hase.

The generic wame means in Sanskit a "swollen neck."
The type of the eronns is Thyreopterus improssus, selnm. Goch. F゙ame. Col. Birm. 1546, 1. Sol. 'thts is the only Oriental species deseribed nuder the genns Thyroopterns, and its gemeric chanacters do not accord with thuse of the Atrican species of that genis.

## Caldidini.

Crosso!lossa, Chaui. Mon. des Callidides, Amm. Soc. Eirs. Pelgr. xv. 1572 , 11. $177=$ Phhodromins, WV. Macloyy, Trans. Ent. Soc. N.S.IV. ii. 1871, p. 85.
Mr. T. G. Sloane pointed ont to me the identity of these two genera, but I do not think he has published any note oll it.

Suronychium inconspicuum, Blackb. Ent. Month. Mag. xiv. 1577, p. $142=$ Emdynomena prulieri, Faim. Rev. et Mag. Zool. 1849, p. 34.

## Lebilimi.

Cymindis pichulu, Bates, Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond. 1573, p. 310 =Anomotarus (Cymindis) stigmulu, Chaud. Bull. Mosc. 1852, i. p. 57.
The genns Urea was proposed by Fanvel (Bull. Soc. Ent. Fr. 1581, 1. 118; id. Rev. (`Ent. i. 180ㄹ, p. 257) for Chamdor's species, but Mr. 'I'. (i. Slome, Proc. Limm. Soc. N.s.W. 1917, pp. $435-6$, does not consider it as "more than, at most, a subjemus of Anomoturus."

XLVIII- On Indo-Chinese Hymenoplera collucted ly hi. Titalis de Saleaza.-11. By Ruwland E. Tvisibi, F.Z.S., F.E.S.

> Family Tenthredinidæ.
> Subfamily ARGIN.E. Arye vitulisi, sp.

ㅇ. Lutea; mandibulis apico nigris; mesopleuris, tergitis 1-3 macula transsersa modiana, quarto fere toto, $5-7$ fascia lata


[^0]:    * I may mention here that on a separate of his paper kindly sent me by Mr. Jiedel there is a note to the eflect that cyanocephalu, $\mathbf{F}=$ Attelibus indicus, Thunb, Nov. Ins. Spec. part 3, 1784, p. 68, fig. 81, described from "Iud. Orient." This I have confirmed, as far as the slenter d-seription allows of ennfirmation.

